

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who		
	Date of meeting: 8th March 201	Date of meeting: 8 <sup>th</sup> March 2017				
1.	Attendees and apologies					
	Present:	Kirsty Rose (KR), Liam Cripps (LC), Pat Whyte (PW), Jerry Wickham (JW), Gordon King (GK), Russell Hawker (RH), David Jenkins (DJ), Carole King (CK), Francis Moorland (FM), Mike Swabey (MS), Amanda McCann (AM)				
	Apologies:					
2.	Notes of last meeting					
		The minutes of the previous meeting held were agreed at the Westbury Area Board meeting on the 2 <sup>nd</sup> February 2017.				
		http://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=173&Mld=10 147&Ver=4				
3.	Financial Position					
		The current budget remaining is £5018.  No confirmation yet on budgets rolling over although Westbury CATG is expected to have allocated all funding				



4.	Top 5 Priority Schemes			
a)	Bratton Road, Westbury – Pedestrian Crossing request	These works are complete. This item can now be removed from the priority list.	Area board to note	AB
b)	Priority 1 Issue 4318 Yellow lines Eden Vale Road/Matravers Close junction  Priority 2	TRO consultation now ended. Objections have been received.	Proceed as advertised. KR to prepare cabinet member report.	KR
c)	Issue 4484 Additional yellow lines Spur Road, Westbury  Priority 2	TRO consultation now ended. Objections received concern the need for onstreet parking for residents in Westbury Leigh. This was discussed and agreed to proceed as advertised.	KR to prepare cabinet member report.	KR
d)	Issue 4448 and 4435 Parking at junction of Slag Lane/Station Road  Priority 2	TRO consultation now ended. Objections received appear to be focused on commuters and potential displacement of parking.  Agreed to proceed as advertised. KR to prepare cabinet member report.		KR
e)	Issue 4429 Yellow Lines Sandalwood Road, Westbury Leigh Primary School	TRO consultation now ended. No objections received.	Proceed with implantation as advertised.	KR
	Priority 2			



f)	Issue <u>4678</u> Waiting restriction request – Leigh Road, Westbury  Priority 2	TRO consultation now ended. Objections have been received Comments received both positive and negative from the community. Town Council support up to 25% of the cost to a maximum of £700.  Agreed to proceed as advertised.	KR to prepare cabinet report. CATG agreed £3600 funding for implementation of all advertised waiting restrictions.	
g)	Issue 4445 Vehicles obstructing pedestrian access to High Street	Works package to be issued.	KR to proceed with bollard installation	AB
	Priority 3			
5.	Other Priority schemes			
a)	Tower Hill, Dilton Marsh	KR to return to residents and parish council to update further. Expected date for works to start is 18 <sup>th</sup> September	KR to undertake further consultation	KR
	Substantive Scheme			
b)	Speeding on Leigh Park near Willoughby Close	Metrocount results – 85 <sup>th</sup> %ile 33.1mph, average speed 26.9mph. Metro count shows a reduction in speed from previous counts. Item to closed	No further action.	
c)	Issue <u>4618</u> Speeding A350 Chalford Westbury	KR proposed that Police enforcement is the best method to control speed here. Members of CATG agreed. KR also confirmed that current signage is correct and adequate.	KR to contact Roads Policing.	KR
d)	Issue <u>4746</u> Road markings – Stormore into Red Pit, Dilton Marsh	DMPC approved proposal and agreed 20% contribution (£200). KR to proceed with works package	Awaiting works to begin. Scheme will progress	
e)	Issue 4803 Speed reduction measures – Slag Lane/Station Road/Frogmore Road, Westbury	Metrocount results – Frogmore Road 85 <sup>th</sup> %ile 27.5mph, average 22.4mph. Slag Lane 85 <sup>th</sup> %ile 85 <sup>th</sup> %ile 29.5mph, average 24mph. Both metro counts are below the recommended speed limits. There is nothing that can be progressed from the perspective of a speeding issue. To be closed.	No further action.	



f)	Issue <u>4814</u> Traffic Calming measures – Bratton Road, Westbury	Awaiting metrocount results	Still awaiting results of metro count.	
g)	Issue <u>5031</u> Broadway Road, Westbury – Double Yellow Line Request	Not supported by Heywood Parish Council	No further action to take. The scheme is not supported by Heywood Parish Council either. To be removed.	
h)	Issue <u>5023</u> Access issues, Springfield Road, Westbury	Westbury Town Council comment - Would support a wider study for a substantive scheme for Springfield Road. KR to approach Matravers School with the agreement of Area Board to review parking arrangements during drop off and pick up. A scheme has been suggested. There is a possible funding stream through School Journeys for 18/19	KR to contact Matravers School	KR
i)	Issue <u>4927</u> Church Lane, Westbury	Works package to be issued.	KR to issue works package	KR
j)	Issue <u>4873</u> Speeding through chicane, Suffolk Road, Westbury	CATG discussed the location.KR has undertake site visits at varying times of day and due to the level of parking and traffic calming layout it is unlikely that speeds are in excess of the limit.	No further action.	
k)	Issue <u>4848</u> Parking Bay, The Butts, Westbury		Cllr King to progress information for White Horse News as there is no perceived better option available KR to supply the written text No further action.	LC/GK/K R
I)	The Wier, Edington – concern re speed of vehicles	KR has visited site and requested a metro count. Awaiting date of equipment install	Await metrocount results	KR
6.	New Requests / Issues			



a)	Issue <u>5088</u> Bitham Mill – Signing	Residents have requested multiple signage changes. KR estimates residents requests to be around £4,000 GK to discuss with Town Council on renaming streets as a solution	GK to raise with Town Council.	GK
b)	Issue <u>5090</u> Primmers Place, Westbury	WTC Comments – Double yellow lines on the entrance to Primmers Place and on bends to discourage parking on junctions and kerbs. Also double yellow lines opposite T junction to allow free flowing traffic.	Refer to annual parking review under taken by Westbury Town Council	WTC
c)	Issue 5104 Slag Lane./Station Road Roundabout	WTC comment – We support barriers and signange as it is dangerous. This is a highways issue and do not offer any contribution.  This issue is being dealt with by development control as part of the Slag Lane development.	A survery is to take place shortly. A barrier is the suggested solution and is being progressed by Highways Development Control	
d)	Issue <u>5121</u> Westbury Leigh	WTC comment - Advise issuer abuse should be reported to Police. Mirrors on private land are allowed subject to not being hazardous to dviers but supported by highways. Can CATG advise any other solution?	No other solution suggested by CATG. Issue to be removed.	
e)	Issue <u>5128</u> – Westbury Leigh Road (Mane Way)	WTC comment – we believe the provided crossing on Mane Way is adequate and this route should be used.	Adequate signage in place. No further action. Remove	
f)	Issue <u>5129</u> - Bratton Road Westbury (White Horse Viewing Area)	WTC comment – This issue has been considered before. We accept the problem but there is not sufficient highway to resolve this. The solution is not immediately apparent until land is acquired.	KR to investigate costs. CATG allocated remaining funds to the scheme of approximately £1,418. This could be a substantive scheme.	
g)	Issue <u>5158</u> Westbury Leigh Removal of TRO	WTC comments – we support looking at relaxing TRO providing there is no adverse impact on Church Lane and its access.	TRO to be removed. Refer to annual parking review under taken by Westbury Town Council	



a)		
b)		
b)		
c)		
d)		



8. Date of Next Meeting: 26<sup>th</sup> May 2017

#### **Westbury Community Area Transport Group**

**Highways Officer - Kirsty Rose** 

### 1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

#### 2. Financial Implications

- 2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Westbury Area Board.
- 2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3<sup>rd</sup> party contributions are confirmed, Westbury Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of £0

## 3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

# 4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

### 5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

## 6. Safeguarding implications